



RESEARCH PAPER

Sino-Sudan Relations and Economic Engagements: An Analysis

¹Assad Mehmood Khan ²Nazim Rahim* ³Shaista Taj

1. Ph. D Scholar, Department of Political Science & IR, Qurtuba University of Science & IT, Peshawar, KP, Pakistan
2. Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science & IR, Qurtuba University of Science & IT, Peshawar, KP, Pakistan
3. Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Women University Swabi, KP, Pakistan

PAPER INFO	ABSTRACT
<p>Received: January 03, 2021</p> <p>Accepted: March 15, 2021</p> <p>Online: March 31, 2021</p> <p>Keywords: Character, China, Non-Interference, Politico-Economic, Sovereignty, Sudan</p> <p>*Corresponding Author:</p> <p>mnazimrahim@qurtuba.edu.pk</p>	<p>Chinese have been acclaimed for inordinate character in Sudan aimed at preceding decade and so. Thus, established into a combination of enduring inward instability and continued outward adversity, hence, portrayed the politico-economic concerns of the state since 1989 (Hui, 2015, pp. 372-378). Therefore, Chinese character amid two entwined politico-economic engagement of Sino-Sudan relations is deliberated in this research paper. However, pursuing the uncertainty since 2003 after Darfur issue, Chinese involvement has recognized a new character in its relations aimed Sudan, hence ensuing to dwell itself to circumnavigate Sudan's politico-economic concerns (Large, 2009, pp. 610-626). Therefore, this piece of research endeavors to analysis a precise framework of Sino-Sudan relations with added emphasis over the contemporary engagement of their politico-economic character. Moreover, appears statutory to emphasize that development in Sudanese land over the past few years have been recognized as Chinese politico-economic extension by its concern towards managing aspiring aids combined with generous remortgage aimed at infrastructure expansions within Sudan. Thus, the rationale of this paper remains to probe Chinese economic policies besides its consequence over Sudan. Moreover, this article too argues the Chinese exploitation of infrastructure expansions across Sudan coupled with aid-sustenance campaigns towards Darfur region, hence, intended capital funding within Sudan as politico-economic cooperation implement. Even though, some expressively productive conclusions, still, Chinese character of economic politics in Sudan's politico-economic development entails future contemplation.</p>

Introduction

Sudan, third largest country of African Continent, has an individual physiographic setting covering relationship amidst towards Arabs through Africans concerning southerly portion appertaining to greater Saharan arid region (Ibbotson & Hoare, 2012, p. 3); (Goldie, 2014, p. 122). Moreover, geologically differing country inhabits an area containing 2.5 million square kilometers, whereas predominantly an arid desert with deviance of *Jebel*

e Marrah and Nubian ridges; flanked with Red Sea in North-East, while surrounded by nine states including Eritrea with Ethiopians towards Eastern flanks, Kenyan, Ugandan and DR Congo setting towards South, Moreover, “Central-African-Republic” (CAR), while state of Chad with Libya towards its Western boundaries, while Egypt towards the North (John Ryle, 2012, p. 254). The Republic of Sudan is governed federally through 26 states; each administered by *Wali*, the Governor, ruling through Ministers and Commissioners in districts and regions (Berhanu, 2011, p. 149). Amongst, the dominant regions of Sudan; Darfur, has been in the news since 2003, reported crisis and conflict in Sudan (Collins, 2005, p. 277).

Darfur region has faced the greater challenges of internally displaced people’s resettlement and the intra-ethnic multiplicity of the crisis: predominantly discussed Afro-Arab prints on the continent; while Arab alongside settled Arab regions; whereas, lastly Afro-Afro resettlements on the land excessively (Abusharaf, 2009, p. 76). Although, history of annexation epoch too witnessed supporting structure growth, beside instructional as well as institutional restructurings, commendable public examination system, then creditable justice practices being followed, formation aimed at nationalized government attributed towards Sudanese besides presence characterized by Darfurian existence towards West. Moreover, about mid of nineteenth centuries, presence lead through Arab inhabitants alongside greater river Nile, thus had controlling in addition to articulating authority towards epicenter, energizing financial system, trooping armed services, hence observing dogmatic procedures, consequently too forming socio-political approaches designed for whole Sudanese, thus eliminating inward external involvement to any extent.

Consequently, aforementioned authoritative applications amid at certain number of individuals affected mainstream issues aimed Sudan, thus containing considerably mistreated Darfurian issue, though appeared on global optics during year 2003, thus offering politico-economic negligence aimed primary posture. Moreover, problem in Darfur area developed through ferocious as well as pandemonium province associating insurgents towards one-side, while states’ armed forces together with associated paramilitaries towards other-side. However, during year 2008, an intermediation mission through United Nations (UN) platform, was assigned to precede hybrid peacemaking efforts through “United Nations-African Union Hybrid Mission in Darfur” (UNAMID) (Koops, MacQueen, Tardy, & Williams, 2015, pp. 784, 786). However, during year 2013, United Nations too documented about 2.3 million inland displacement of populace owing towards the enduring skirmishes across the regions, thus too featured discords among familial opponents aimed handling domination over Gold mining. Moreover, during aforementioned year, central government organized militia namely “Rapid Support Forces” (RSF), aimed at assisting state armed forces against rebels operating across Darfurian region (Akuffo, 2016, p. 136).

Significantly, Darfuric province alone embraces more than 80 various traditional ethnic groupings, largely organized amongst Africans through migrants from Arab (MacMichael, H. A., 2011, p. 49). Though, such traditional ethnic grouping divide presents a discourse that has been offered most often owing to plausible explanations towards regional conflicts as well as instability. Thus, an inclination being practiced aimed most usually through mass media information sharing news besides data being documented through “Non-Governmental Organizations” (NGOs). However, UN too deployed situational hybrid peacemaking assignment under UNAMID, though ever more estrangement aimed at African region generally and Darfur particularly. Moreover, UN appeared to leaving with

mark being unable to restate permanency over peacemaking process, hence, attributed generally supportive of untenable status quo (HRW, 2009, p. 16).

However, the issue in Darfurian region has been forced through numeral rebellious alignments operational under diverse ethno-cultural outlines, hence, fore-mostly “Sudan Liberation Movement / Army” (SLM/A), that has currently been alienated to form further two disintegrated grouping owed over divergences aimed “Darfur Peace Agreement”, as well as “Justice and Equality Movement” (JEM). Moreover, augmenting towards the liabilities of confrontation, Sudanese have been measured through their most horrible politico-economic instability reasons across the globe aimed recent development. Thus, too approximates over 1 million inland emigrants subsequently during year 2003 (Hassan & Ray, 2009, p. 485). Many discourses and myths orders compilation aimed statements that might drop before reality.

Therefore, research paper efforts contextualize Chinese influence over Sudan’s dogmatic environments, thus, discovers character of recent politico-economic engagements aimed Sino-Sudan relations.

Overview and Background

The Republic of Sudan is governed federally through 18 states; each administered by *Wali*, the Governor, ruling through Ministers and Commissioners in districts and regions (IBP, 2017, p. 68). Moreover, every state administers financial autonomy along-with independent legislative body for lawmaking issues in the state. Additionally, it has population of 43,849,260 with the utmost annual increase rate of 2.4 percent, in relations to other states of the country (Worldometer, 2020). Darfuric-region has faced the greater challenges of internally displaced people’s resettlement, owing to dearth and famine during 1970-80, from northern-zone to southern Darfur region.

Literature Review

Evidently, the land of Sudan is ethnically vivid besides a heaving wealth state confronted with various odds from the time of its inception at large and since Darfur issue in 2003, particularly. There are wide-ranging documents, affording sequential evidence of ethno-cultural diversity besides politico-economic interpretation of the country. Ibrahim Elnur, recognized the motives, possibilities, and restoration opportunities while investigating historical recount of consequential uncertainty (Elnur, 2009). Similarly, Waal discussed reality of political affairs across African continent and too offered reasons of political instability within nation’s alike Sudan (Waal, 2015).

Outwardly, proceeding towards research about the core-subject, it remains essential to explore through the offered literature covering the matter. Hence, attempt to contextualize the added aspects contributing towards Sino-Sudan’s politico-economic relations, extending since armaments supplies, stance over regional conflicts, ethno-political discrimination, periphrastic humanity concerns and occurrences, socio-political along with socio-economic deprivations at large, while politico-economic engagement specifically.

Therein, the literature covering the subject dynamism exists opulent of documents explaining nature and character aimed Sino-Sudan politico-economic relations. Thus,

largely comprises two categories: Firstly, explains the genre and power circle including historic extents of politico-economic structure with great economic quest (Essien & Falola, 2008, pp. 45-76). Douglas H. Johnson (2003), analyzes history, socio-political, and socio-economy recount to understand the core reasons towards domestic instability across Sudan (Johnson, 2003, p. 21).

Whereas, the further share of literature review, involves enlarged existence of third party as negotiator at large, thus China's economic politics in Sudan, perhaps expansion over politico-economic discourse throughout current years (Taylor, 2006, pp. 937-959). Indeed, China's existing relationship with the continent, though amid at abundant land-capital, is recurrently been interpreted as collective character by sheer explanations enclosed (Abdulai, 2016, pp. 50-52). Solicitously, China is observed as an emergent equalizer power towards American reign; furthermore, it too requires protection of African stance in the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) (Hiatt, 2009, p. 187). However, conversely African nations increasingly engaging Chinese as supportive influential once involve in consultations over politico-economic commitments with global admonitory organizations alike International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Bank (WB) or else, venture capitalist and donators.

Moreover, China's increased economic policies towards Africa are conceivably the furthestmost noteworthy development over industrial development combined with diplomatic strength discourses concluded aimed at recent years (Alden C. , 2008). Subsequently, even though exploitation or contribution, the assumptions have been interpreted through academe and ambassadorial establishments from Africa, China, in addition to West. Therefore, assuming Chinese presence over the continent and especially Sudan, stands considered aimed at land-capital primarily intended for the aforementioned (Taylor, 2006, pp. 937-959). Furthermore, the investigative literature has reasoned that, generally Africa and particularly Sudan, shipping raw-capital along with foremost productions towards China, though collegial expenses, and low-cost importation of mass-produced commodities from China (Taylor, 2009a, p. 5).

Evidently, the reviewed literature recognized, neediness of upgraded research focusing over politico-economic engagement amid Sino-Sudan relations. Moreover, intend addressing the mentioned concerns through evaluating Sino-Sudan politico-economic relations. Besides, the significance and valuable contributions towards existing literature would remain expounded during exploratory discussion, thus simplified during realistic conclusion. Therefore, the research paper recognizes the diverse situations consequential to Sino-Sudan relations, hence, offering influence over the continent, thus represent the assumptions over Sino-Sudan politico-economic relations.

Discussion and Findings

Sino-Sudan political engagement has observed pragmatic rise, where China has been at the forefront to cultivate significant politico-economic relationships for more than a decade. Particularly, the Chinese re-examined the extends of politico-economic engagements, reconsidered the deepening of mutual cooperation and development relations and moreover, focused over non-interference and humanitarian assistance. Primarily, the state's relations are principally characterized by state, sovereignty, foreign policy, national interest, and non-interference (Goldsmith, 2000, pp. 959-986). Sino-Sudan political engagement is largely influenced by national interests, following particular

foreign-policy guidelines. To validate and contextualize the contours of Sino-Sudan political engagement, important concepts and definitions are illustrated subsequently.

State Sovereignty: A Political Dimension

The sovereignty in political engagement has been attributed to state, a principal entity of socio-political institution (Lamb & Snape, 2017, p. 282); and state sovereignty, a lawful control inside visible set of boundaries; a chronological control that legitimates continual authority (Beck & Ambrosio, 2002, p. 64). The sovereignty is a complex and arguable conception; while discussing sovereignty, generally it refers to freedom, identified as the liberty of a communal unit to function, particularly coupled with authority and legitimacy (Benoist, 1999, pp. 99-118).

In modern-day foreign policy of China, the argument of sovereignty imitates China's sensitivity to potential external involvement in internal affairs, alleged altogether as domestic affair (Carmody & Taylor, 2009, p. 9). China foreign policy stresses upon sovereignty with non-interference to evade the formation of worldwide precedents might be warned by the West to get in to its domestic domain (Zhengyua & Taylor, 2011, pp. 137-154). whilst, in recently issued formative legitimate contract aimed at 2019's intermediate phase across Sudan, the argument of sovereignty resides to the public and is executed by the government under the provisions of constitutional charter, an utmost law that succeed over rest of the laws (GoS, 2019, p. 6).

Sudan's Political Environment and Chinese Foreign Policy Dynamics

Foreign policy is a ceremonial expression of states' behavior towards another state (Pavell, 2013, p. 374). Meaningfully, a framework formulating relations and activities with other states (Butwell, 2015, p. 9). Thus, states' foreign policy is combination of particular objectives intended to be attained in the way of its relationships with the other countries. However, these particular objectives are preferred from varied interests of any state, as significant and attainable. Moreover, foreign policy is a scheme of events developed by societies for altering the behaviors of other countries and adjusting their own to the international system (Modelska, 1962, p. 3).

Furthermore, it remains constituted over objectives pursued, ethics and moralities established, findings, conclusions and execution offered through central authority, aimed at the perspective about outward relationships through nationalized culture. Hence, institutes effort towards projection, achieved then regulated around external relationships aimed nationalized interests (Webber & Smith, 2013, p. 2). These are largely associated with politico-economic and military interests as well as the relations with other countries (Hayman & Williams, 2006, p. 530). Foreign policy composition is characterized by different factors including internal like geography, general population, natural capital, and development; and external factors like international and customary laws, the nature and character of global economy, the strategies, and relations of other countries besides global community attitudes.

Furthermore, foreign policy objectives are characterized by different techniques, including diplomacy: an art of constraining power (Kissinger, 2012), and mainly concerned about managing relations among states and between other actors (Barston, 2014, p. 1);

Trade and aid policies: a politico-economic instrument, institute or technique, openly or covertly applied to foreign policy objectives (Beasley, Kaarbo, Lantis, & Snarr, 2013); Military practices and policies: practiced through states towards influence or defend as well as support state-owned securities (Hensel, 2016, p. 39).

National Interest in Politico-Economic Environment

National interest has generally been deliberated as equally for defense of and justification for policy as well as decision making process of states diplomatic relations. However, the national interest of a state can be characterized by: (i) Defense interests, to protect the state and citizens against physical attack fixed by another state; (ii) Economic interests to enhance the state's economic security among other states; (iii) International Order interests to maintain international politico-economic system, it feels secure to work peacefully outside its boundaries; (iv) Ideology interests to protect and maintain a set of ethics which the state and citizens believe to be shared (Nuechterlein, 1976, p. 248).

Accordingly, the mutual relations of states in general and, Sino-Sudan in particular are to enlarge their national interests. Hence, their politico-economic engagement is to augment the interests of their people. Henceforward, the drive behind Sino-Africa in general and Sino-Sudan politico-economic engagement in particular could be described as bilateral interests (Thrall, 2015, p. 31). Consequently, Sino-Sudan's politico-economic engagements have national interest as primary objectives.

China's politico-economic engagement in Sudan is to access natural capital and energy resources. While, Sudan has both political as well as economic reasons. Politically, it requires internationally accepted continuance of its political structure. Economically, it requires to augment the interests of its populaces through trade, FDI and developments, as one of the national interest objectives. Therefore, both have national interests as their principal objective to peruse their foreign policy.

China's Non-interference in Sudan and Beyond

Non-interference, refers as 'lack of interference'; the policy or practice of abstaining from interference and particularly the political relationship; and, a foreign policy of continuing out of other states' disagreement (Merriam-Webster, 2019), (Dictionary.com, 2019), (vocabulary.com, 2019). The conception has been documented, since conclusion of peace agreement through Westphalia during year 1648. However, foremostly notified within French constituents earlier during 1713. Moreover, continuing changes in international thoughts were underlined in literatures by the United States (US) and Europeans during the 19th and 20th centuries. Recently, the non-interference has shaped a significant share of various concepts, strategies, and arguments.

Generally, appropriate exemptions towards non-interfering remained merely done aimed event about own-defense either reserve global reconciliation combined with safekeeping aimed at consent through UN besides countries representation (Ayenagbo, Njobvu, Sossou, & Tozoun, 2012, pp. 22-32). However, the conjoint non-interference has been introduced by China as one its foreign policy principles during 1954 (F. Wang, 1985, p. 272). The government of China, which targets to escalate Chinese engagement across the land has promoted principle about non-interference against the sovereignty of an independent state as one its foreign policy objectives (Ayenagbo, Njobvu, Sossou, &

Tozoun, 2012, pp. 22-32). However, this research will denote towards policy offering non-interfering, hence parameter, but may not be principle towards Chinese attitude.

Sino-Sudan Politico-Economic Engagement and Beyond

Academically, acceptance about terminology “Grand-Strategy”, thus expanded aggressively considering termination over Cold-War (Hill, 2010, p. 128). The term remains useful towards explaining plans, shaping ideologies, thus too outlining states’ actions. Historians, and specially the battle-hardened history-writers, had earliest assertion aimed notion designed over such strategy as ‘Plan’ (Silove, 2018, p. 34). However, this impression aimed aforementioned approach through considerate, comprehensive proposal remained noticeable within the literature produced during post-cold-war period on grand strategy. Moreover, political science theorists have made numerous statements supporting the interpretation over grand strategy as a considerate, comprehensive plan. Besides, it too remains well-defined and represented in the contemporary writings.

Thus, the behavior aimed such strategy might remain assumed as ostentatious design (Silove, 2018, p. 39). However, the academia and analysts who differs the concept as a grand-plan often favor grand strategy as a forming or predominant ‘principle’ or set of principles (Walt, 1989, p. 13). The variance among a plan and principle is the level of details that distinguishes the two terminologies, as plan has more details than a principle. Moreover, another notion of grand strategy, discusses ‘pattern of state’s behavior’.

Though, it is not sign of presence of plan or principle. However, it is the one represented noticeably in the prevailing literature. Strategy developed as a concept during 18th and 19th centuries, and term “grand strategy” was formally presented by Liddell Hart in 1967, while emphasized on a state of peace, [that] is superior later the war than earlier (Hart, 1991, p. 94). Finally, a concise overview over the development of the term, refers to the increasing complication of logistics, technologies, and state policies (Strachan, 2005, pp. 33-54).

Sino-Sudan Relations and Soft-Power Inferences

Academically, theory about soft-power impression endured considerably discussed and refined by the academia and analyst of political and foreign relationships in recent years. The foremost variance among hard-power then soft-power might stand comprehended as: hard-power abstracts agreement predominantly concluded over support aimed physical control means, thus straight as well as enforced approaches, soft power encourages multiplicity of policies, potentials, and engagements that insinuate states to other states, more indirect and mostly non-coercive approaches (Gallarotti, 2010, p. 23). Therein, hard power displays a larger clash of interest’s comparative with soft-power. However, conversely hard-power considers states captivating new states towards what later would usually not else offer (Dahl, 1957, pp. 201-215).

Soft power, conversely, conditions the concerned states to willingly perform that soft-power states might afford to act, therefore, it stands faraway from offering conflicting interests through soft-power progression. Moreover, evidently suggested that soft-power largely avoids offering severe conflicting interests as suggested in the hard power (Nye, 2005, p. 10). Hence, states can grow beyond sagacity about fabricated perception, thus

subsequently course aimed essential supremacy conciliates somewhat thus forms soft-power (McGiffert, 2009, pp. 10,59). Traditionally, soft power is formed by communal interconnection, a raised standard of life, independence, plentiful prospects offered towards people, patience, then too attractive features about existence, hence saves greater appreciation or else equal simulation (Nye, 2005a, pp. 141).

Conclusion

The research has attempted to enumerate the Sino-Sudan politico-economic relations, besides evaluating Chinese character of supportive investment and development in Sudan particularly after Darfur problem. Moreover, politico-economic engagement of China towards Sudan, hence, rendering prospect to offer a transformed character besides existence amid global organizations above and beyond aid-support programs towards Darfur region, envisioned capital-investment aimed Sudan through politico-economic engagement instrument. While, there have been certain substantial realistic conclusions, yet, Sino-Sudan relation with regards to its politico-economic engagement exploration required to be considered. Moreover, Chinese emergent character of involving mediation towards Africa and particularly Darfur has developed novel modified role of its non-interference interpretation within international conflict handling. Thus, confronted character that argues with developing image as dynamic moderator among global organization. However, engaging prospects exploring petroleum-market of Sudan. Moreover, understanding too resolved the experimentations of Sino-Sudan relations over politico-economic engagement beyond external pressure then internal uncertainty concern.

References

- Abdulai, D. N. (2016). *Chinese Investment in Africa*. Milton Park, United Kingdom: Taylor & Francis.
- Abusharaf, R. M. (2009). *Transforming Displaced Women in Sudan: Politics and the Body in a Squatter Settlement*. Chicago, Illinois, United States: University of Chicago Press.
- Akuffo, E. A. (2016). *Canadian Foreign Policy in Africa: Regional Approaches to Peace, Security, and Development*. Abingdon, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- Alden, C. (2008). *China in Africa* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Zed Books Ltd.
- Alden, C., Large, D., & Oliveira, R. S. (2008). *China Returns to Africa: A Rising Power and a Continent Embrace*. UK: Oxford University Press.
- April, F. Y., & Shelton, G. (2015). *Perspectives on South Africa-China Relations at 15 Years*. Arcadia, Pretoria, South Africa: Africa Institute of South Africa.
- Austin, G. (2010). African Economic Development and Colonial Legacies. *Graduate Institute of Geneva Journal*, 11-22.
- Ayenagbo, K., Njobvu, T., Sossou, J. V., & Tozoun, B. K. (2012, February). China's peacekeeping operations in Africa: From unwilling participation to responsible contribution. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 6(2), 22-32.
- Barston, R. P. (2014). *Modern Diplomacy*. London, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- Beasley, R. K., Kaarbo, J., Lantis, J. S., & Snarr, M. T. (2013). *Foreign policy in Comparative Perspective: Domestic and International Influences on State Behavior*. (Second, Ed.) Washington, United States: SAGE Publications.
- Beck, R. J., & Ambrosio, T. (2002). *International law and the rise of nations: the state system and the challenge of ethnic groups*. London, UK: Chatham House Publishers.
- Benoist, A. d. (1999). What is Sovereignty? *Telos*, 1999:3(116), 99-118.
- Berhanu, D. G. (2011). *Institutions and Investment in Sudan*. Germany: LIT Verlag Münster.
- Butwell, R. (2015). *Foreign Policy and the Developing Nation*. Lexington, Kentucky, United States: University Press of Kentucky.
- Canrong, J. (2014). *Prosperity in China: International Responsibility and Opportunity for a Growing Power*. New York, New York, United States: McGraw Hill.
- Carmody, P., & Taylor, I. (2009). *Flexigemony and Force in China's Geoeconomic Strategy in Africa: Sudan and Zambia Compared*. Institute for International Integration Studies . Ireland: IIIS.
- Cilliers, J. (2017, November 28). What drives instability in Africa and what can be done about it. Pretoria, Hatfield, South Africa.

- Collins, R. O. (2005). *Civil Wars and Revolution in the Sudan: Essays on the Sudan, Southern Sudan and Darfur, 1962 - 2004*. Los Angeles, United States: Tsehai Publishers.
- Dahl, R. A. (1957, December 11). The Concept of Power. *Behavioral Science*, 2(3), 201-215. doi:10.1002/bs.3830020303
- Dictionary.com. (2019, November 27). *Dictionary.com*. Dictionary.com: <https://www.dictionary.com/browse/affairs>
- Dollar, D. (2016). *China's Engagement with Africa From Natural Resources to Human Resources*. Washington, D.C: The John L. Thornton China Center at Brookings.
- Elnur, I. (2009). *Contested Sudan: The Political Economy of War and Reconstruction*. Milton Park, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- Essien, K., & Falola, T. (2008). *Culture and Customs of Sudan*. Santa Barbara, California, United States: ABC-CLIO.
- F. Wang, J. C. (1985). *Contemporary Chinese Politics: An Introduction*. New Jersey, United States: Prentice-Hall.
- Gallarotti, G. M. (2010). *Cosmopolitan Power in International Relations: A Synthesis of Realism, Neoliberalism, and Constructivism*. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Goldie, A. P. (2014). *The Problem Is Not Available: 364 Days In Sudan*. North Carolina, USA: Lulu Press, Inc.
- Goldsmith, J. (2000, April). Sovereignty, International Relations Theory, and International Law. *Stanford Law Review*, 52(4), 959-986.
- GoS, K. (2019, October 3). *Ministry of Justice*. Ministry of Justice: <http://www.moj.gov.sd>
- Hart, L. (1991). *Strategy*. New York, USA: Meridian.
- Hassan, S. M., & Ray, C. E. (2009). *Darfur and the Crisis of Governance in Sudan: A Critical Reader*. Ithaca, New York, United States: Cornell University Press.
- Hayman, P. A., & Williams, J. (2006). Westphalian Sovereignty: Rights, Intervention, Meaning and Context. *Global Society*, 20(4), 521-541. doi:10.1080/13600820600929879
- Hensel, H. M. (2016). *The Legitimate Use of Military Force: The Just War Tradition and the Customary Law of Armed Conflict*. London, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- Hiatt, S. (2009). *A Game As Old As Empire: The Secret World of Economic Hit Men and the Web of Global Corruption: Easyread Edition*. San Francisco, California, United States: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Hill, C. (2010). *The U.S. Search for Grand Strategy*. Connecticut, United States: Yale University Press.

- Hodzi, O. (2018). *The End of China's Non-Intervention Policy in Africa*. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- HRW. (2009). *Sudan, Darfur Destroyed: Ethnic Cleansing by Government and Militia Forces in Western Sudan*. New York, New York, USA: Human Rights Watch.
- Hui, L. (2015). Sino-Sudan relation: Mutually beneficial or neocolonialism. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 9(10), 372-378.
- Ibbotson, S., & Hoare, M. L. (2012). *Sudan*. UK: Bradt Travel Guides.
- IBP. (2017). *Sudan (Republic of Sudan) Country Study Guide Volume 1 Strategic Information and Developments, Volume 1*. Morrisville, North Carolina, United States: Lulu Press Publishing company.
- John Ryle, J. W. (2012). *The Sudan Handbook*. London, UK: Rift Valley Institute (RVI).
- Johnson, D. H. (2003). *The Root Causes of Sudan's Civil Wars*. Melton, United Kingdom: James Currey Publishers.
- Kissinger, H. (2012). *Diplomacy*. New York, United States: Simon and Schuster.
- Koops, J. A., MacQueen, N., Tardy, T., & Williams, P. D. (2015). *The Oxford Handbook of United Nations Peacekeeping Operations*. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press.
- Lai, H. H. (2007). China's oil diplomacy: is it a global security threat? *Third World Quarterly*, 28(3), 1-20.
- Lamb, P., & Snape, F. R. (2017). *Historical Dictionary of International Relations*. New York, USA: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Lanteigne, M., & Hirono, M. (2013). *China's Evolving Approach to Peacekeeping*. London, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- Large, D. (2009, September). China's Sudan Engagement: Changing Northern and Southern Political Trajectories in Peace and War. *The China Quarterly*(199), 610-626.
- MacMichael, H. A. (2011). *A History of the Arabs in the Sudan: And Some Account of the People who Preceded Them and of the Tribes Inhabiting Dárfūr*. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- McGiffert, C. (2009). *Chinese Soft Power and Its Implications for the United States: Competition and Cooperation in the Developing World*. Washington, D.C., United States: The Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS).
- Merriam-Webster. (2019, November 27). *Merriam-Webster*. Merriam-Webster Dictionary: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/noninterference>
- Modelski, G. (1962). *A Theory of Foreign Policy*. Princeton, New Jersey, United States: Princeton University Press.

- Nuechterlein, D. E. (1976, October). National Interests and Foreign Policy: A Conceptual Framework for Analysis and Decision-Making. *British Journal of International Studies*, 2(3), 246-266. doi:10.1017/s0260210500116729
- Nye, J. S. (2005). *Soft Power: The Means To Success In World Politics*. New York, United States: Public Affairs Books.
- PATRICK, S. M. (2012, April 30). *Why Natural Resources Are a Curse on Developing Countries and How to Fix It*. <https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2012/04/why-natural-resources-are-a-curse-on-developing-countries-and-how-to-fix-it/256508/>
- Pavell, I. (2013). *On The Wrong Track*. Bloomington, Indiana, United States: Author House.
- Piombo, J. (2012). Oil Rents and Political Power in Africa. In R. E. Looney, *Handbook of Oil Politics* (pp. 149-167). Abingdon, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- Rodney, W. (2018). *How Europe Underdeveloped Africa*. Brooklyn, New York, United States: Verso Books.
- Silove, N. (2018). Beyond the Buzzword: The Three Meanings of "Grand Strategy". *Security Studies*, 27(1), 27-57. doi:10.1080/09636412.2017.1360073
- Strachan, H. (2005). The Lost Meaning of Strategy. *Survival*, 47(3), 33-54. doi:10.1080/00396330500248102
- Taylor, I. (2006). China's Oil Diplomacy in Africa. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*, 82(5), 937-959.
- Thrall, L. (2015). China's Expanding African Relations: Implications for U.S National Security. *RAND Corporation*, 110.
- Tochalo, B. G. (2009). Africa in the International Security System. In *Anatomy of Violence: Understanding the Systems of Conflict and Violence in Africa* (pp. 37-70). Farnham, UK, Farnham, UK: Ashgate Publishing, Ltd.
- vocabulary.com. (2019, November 27). *vocabulary.com*. Retrieved from vocabulary.com: <https://www.vocabulary.com/dictionary/noninterference>
- Waal, A. D. (2015, October 9). *The Real Politics of the Horn of Africa: Money, War and the Business of Power*. Hoboken, New Jersey, United States: Wiley. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-54462322>
- Walt, S. M. (1989, January). The Case for Finite Containment: Analyzing U.S. Grand Strategy. *International Security*, 14(1), 5-49. doi:10.2307/2538764
- Webber, M., & Smith, M. (2013). *Foreign Policy In A Transformed World*. London, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- Worldometer. (2020, 11 22). *Sudan GDP*. <https://www.worldometers.info/gdp/sudan-gdp/>

Yu-shek, C. J. (2016). *China's Foreign Policy: Challenges And Prospects*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing company.

Zhengyua, W., & Taylor, I. (2011, April). From refusal to engagement: Chinese contributions to peacekeeping in Africa. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 29(2), 137-154.